

**AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION**

Please replace the paragraph beginning on page 27, line 4 and ending on page 28, line 10 with the following rewritten paragraph:

It can be seen from the results of Table 6 that the aerosols 35 to 38 have excellent disinsectization efficacy as compared with the aerosol 41 which is a standard prior product. Since the present test is a test reflecting the actual use circumstance of an aerosol product, the results of the present test directly demonstrate efficacy of the aerosol of the present invention in actual use. The fact that  $KT_{50}$  value for the aerosol 35 is ~~higher~~ more favorable than that of the aerosol 41 in the quasi-field test demonstrates that even if a solvent amount is reduced to about 1/5 compared to that of a standard prior product in practical use, sufficient efficacy comparable with that of an aerosol which is a standard prior product is maintained as far as constitutions of the present invention are adopted. In addition, by increasing an orifice diameter, or decreasing a container inner pressure to increase a particle diameter of a sprayed particle, efficacy of space spraying was rather increased than direct spraying. This is the result which cannot be expected from the previous findings, and this was thought to be the result of that adoption of constitutions of the present invention led to optimal control of a particle diameter regardless of reduction in a solvent, and not only insect adherability but also spatial diffusibility were improved. Here, for the aerosols 35 to 38, pollution due to a solvent was not observed. In addition, it is seen that when the presence or the absence of the long nozzle is compared, the presence of a long nozzle leads slightly more excellent efficacy in this Test Example.